

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/707,264	12/02/2003	Shian-Jyh Lin	NTCP0005USA	1263
27765 7	2590 06/28/2004		EXAMINER	
NAIPO (NORTH AMERICA INTERNATIONAL PATENT OFFICE)			ERDEM, FAZLI	
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2826	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ł.		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/707,264	LIN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
		Fazli Erdem	2826					
D	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sh et	with the correspondenc addres	SS				
Period fo	• •							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statement reply received by the Office later than three months after the mated patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communications (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	December 2003.						
2a) <u></u>		his action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	sed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	on.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) 17-19 and 21-23 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	')⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have bee	en received in this National Stag	ge				
	application from the International Bure							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Assa-L.	4/_\							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	(8) 5)	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>'</u>)				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ے ، احادات ا						

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 1-6 allowed.
- 2. Claim 20 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art failed to establish a deep trench capacitor having the required relationship between the center electrode and the outer electrode.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17-19 and 21-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enders et al. (6,319,787) in view of Gambino et al. (6,194,755).

Regarding Claims 17-19, Fig. 5h of Enders et al. disclose a high surface area trench capacitor with electrodes 20 and 20' and insulating layers 27 and 25. Futhermore the electrode 20 sits in the center and electrodes 20' sit in the outer direction with insulating layers separating them. Enders et al. fail to disclose the required bottle-shaped trench structure and the required diffused buried plate structure. However, Gambimo et al.

disclose a low-resistance salicide fill for trench capacitors where int Fig. 2. a bottle shaped trench capacitor structure with diffused buried plates 18.

It would have been obvious to one of having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the required diffused buried plate and the required bottle-shaped trench structure in Enders et al. as taught by Gambino et al. in order to have a deep trench capacitor structure with increased area and performance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 17 recites the limitation "buried diffusion plate" in that is electrically connected to center electrode. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazli Erdem whose telephone number is (703) 305-3868. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,264

Art Unit: 2826

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FE June 24, 2004

NATHAN J. FLYNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 4